

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/622,696 11/01/2000 Stephan Bolz 051480-5016 8807 31625 7590 02/18/2005 **EXAMINER** BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. DOLINAR, ANDREW M PATENT DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039 3747

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/622,696	BOLZ, STEPHAN		
Examiner	A =4 1 1 = 2A		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	<u>_</u>			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Andrew M. Dolinar	3747		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in street for Continued	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per</li> </ol>	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ns of the date of filing	the Notice of	
AMENDMENTS				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>37 and 38</u> would the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 37 and 38. Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,18,20-27,29-32 and 34-36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary and	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
·		al mol	2	
	•	Andrew M. Dolina		
		Primary Examiner		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The arguments with respect to analyzer 94 of Patrick et al are not relevant to reference as applied in the rejections. The claim language is broad enough to embrace the features of the references as applied. One must bear in mind that, especially in nonchemical cases, the words in a claim are generally not limited in their meaning by what is shown or disclosed in the specification. >See, e.g., Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad Inc., 358 F.3d 898, 906, 69 USPQ2d 1801, 1807 (Fed. Cir. 2004).